

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE inited States Patent and Trademark Office odress COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002

			www.uspoogov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,527	04/23/2001	Walter Navarrini	2581/10	4854
26646 7	590 08/19/2002			
KENYON & KENYON			EXAMINER	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			KEYS, ROSALYND ANN	
,			ARTIBUT	DADED MINADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/840,527	NAVARRINI, WALTER
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Rosalynd Keys	1621
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this c mmuni Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the period - If NO period - Failure I - Any repleamed p	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FC NLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC set disease as wellable under the provisions. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm for reply specified above, its est ant intriy (36 nod for reply is specified above, the maximum sia orphy whith the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months at sent term adjustment. See 37 (PER 1.704b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a unication. 1) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the lattery period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed sirty (30) days will be considered timely. NMTHS from the mailing date of this communication
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) file		
		2b) This action is non-final.	
	losed in accordance with the practi		atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
·	laim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the a	application	
) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		
	laim(s) is/are allowed.		
	laim(s) is/are rejected.		
	laim(s) is/are objected to.		
	laim(s) 1-26 are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
Application		,	
9)[] Th	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) 🗌 Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗌 Th	e proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
	f approved, corrected drawings are req	uired in reply to this Office action.	
12) 🔲 Th	e oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) <u></u>	All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1.	 Certified copies of the priority 	documents have been received.	
2.	Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received in .	Application No
	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation that attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
		· ·	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign land		
Attachment(s	•	5. 252540 priority and 00 0.0.0	
1) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftspurson's Patent Drawing Review (P ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	(O-946) 5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152).

Application/Control Number: 09/840,527

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, drawn to a fluorovinyl ether, classified in class 568, subclass 615.
 - II. Claims 5-20, drawn to a polymer, classified in class 526, subclass 247.
 - Claims 21-26, drawn to a process for making a fluorovinyl ether, classified in class 568. subclass 674.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a starting material for making fluorinated polyethers by reaction of the fluorovinyl ether with gaseous elemental fluorine and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/840,527

Art Unit: 1621

examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different functions.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- A telephone call was made to Paul M. Richter, Jr. on August 8, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1621

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosalynd Keys whose telephone number is 703-308-4633. The examiner can normally be reached on M and F 3:00-8:00 pm and T-R 5:30-10:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Rosalynd Keys Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

F . () () R. Keys August 16, 2002